

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78883 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-258 Year-2023 Thana- LALGANJ District- Vaishali

Varun Paswan @ Nehal @ Varun Kumar Son of Shatrudhan Paswan Vill-
Shahdullahpur, P.S.-Lalganj, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 29-01-2026 Heard Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Dr. Ajeet Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
28.04.2025 in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 258 of
2023, F.I.R. dated 17.07.2023 for the offences punishable under
Sections 304(B) and 34 of the IPC.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused
persons including this petitioner have killed the daughter of the
informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case merely on the ground that he is
husband of the deceased. It appears from the F.I.R that there is



no specific allegation of assault or demand of dowry against the petitioner rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including this petitioner. He further submits that the date of occurrence is 06.06.2023 but the F.I.R has been instituted on 17.07.2023 and before lodging of the present F.I.R, the postmortem was conducted by the authority on 06.06.2023 itself and the present F.I.R has been instituted after seeing the postmortem report only to falsely implicate the petitioner. In fact, the deceased has committed suicide herself. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 28.04.2025.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the petitioner has clean antecedent and the postmortem was conducted before lodging of the F.I.R, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ASJ-VIII, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 258 of 2023, subject to the following conditions:-



i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

