IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78922 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011146712026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-177 Year-2026 Thana- BANIAPUR District- Saran

==========

Mangal Nat @ Mangal Nut Son of Dudh Nath Nat Resident of village - Harpur Karah, Police Station - Baniyapur, District - Saran at Chapra.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shrinath Manjhi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Nazir Ansari, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Baniyapur P.S. Case No.177 of 2026 for the offences under sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act lodged on 28.02.2026 by the informant,Tarun Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that on secret information, a hut was raided, the accused manage to escape but there is/are recovery/seizure of 3 liters country made liquor, local chowkidar gave the name of the petitioner. This led to the FIR.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing has been recovered from his conscious possession nor from his house but from an open hut having access to everyone, the chowkidar due to enimity named him as he has criminal antecedent.

5. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner relied on the judgment of the Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089** wherein it has been held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned APP opposes the prayer.

7. Taking into account the submissions of the parties and the judgment in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)**, as also that recovery which is from the hut and not from the conscious possession of the petitioner, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail with conditions.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of

WEB COPY

arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge Excise-1st, Saran at Chapra connection with Baniyapur P.S. Case No.177 of 2026 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for six months to mark his attendance and after the end of the period, the certificate shall be submitted before the Trial Court failing which steps shall be taken for the cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or

WEB COPY

promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

munindra/-

U		T	

WEB COPY