

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78585 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-84 Year-2019 Thana- MARANCHI District- Patna

=====

Tuntun Bind @ Tuntun Nishad S/o Rajendra Bind @ Rajendra Nishad @
Rajendra Mahto R/o vill - Simariya Ghat, Bind Toli, P.S.- Chakiya, Distt.-
Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Kashyap, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Maranchi P.S. Case No. 84 of 2019, instituted for the offences
under Sections 147, 148, 149, 302 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that petitioner along
with other co-accused named in the F.I.R. committed murder of
the son of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. The
informant is not the eye-witness of the occurrence. Learned
counsel for the petitioner submits that general and omnibus
allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific
overt act is alleged against the petitioner. He further submitted



that specific allegation of firing is against co-accused Sanjay Rai @ Sanjay Mahto. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 29.07.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. He further submitted that petitioner accompanied the son of the informant from his house.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today. If any such application is filed before the learned court below, the court concerned shall consider the same on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

