**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81338 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC011144892026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-138 Year-2026 Thana- Marnga District- Purnia

==========

Rohit Kumar S/O Sri Sanjay Singh R/O Vill.- Subhash Nagar, P.s.- Sahayak Khajanchi, Dist.- Purnea.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Anand, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard Mr. Amit Kumar Anand, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 21.04.2026, in connection with Maranga P.S. Case No. 138 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 21.04.2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318 (4), 338, 336(3), 341(1), 317(2), 317(4), 317 (5) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case relates to recovery of snatched mobile phone from the possession of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It appears from the F.I.R. that two mobile phones

WEB COPY

have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and except the aforesaid, no other incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and petitioner is not in a position to produce any paper with respect to mobiles in question. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 21.04.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries five more cases other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-5 of the supplementary affidavit that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnea in connection with Maranga P.S. Case No. 138 of 2026, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court

WEB COPY

and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Ibrar//-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY