IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81001 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011144642026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-600 Year-2026 Thana- NAWADA District- Nawada

==========

Anushka Rani W/o Chandra Shekhar Kumar Resident of Village - Dev Bigha, P.S. - Vena, Dist. - Nalanda (Bihar).

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rabindra Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Rabindra Prasad Singh and Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem, learned APP on behalf of the State.

2. This is an application for grant of regular bail to the petitioner who has been made accused in connection with Nawada P.S. Case No. 600 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 336(3), 338, 223, 61(2) and 318(2) of the B.N.S., Section 10 of the Bihar Examination Act and Section 66(D) of the I.T. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, during the Home Guard Service Cadre Clerk examination on 10.06.2026 at Nawada, question paper allotted to room no. 15, where petitioner Anushka Rani was invigilator, went viral on social

WEB COPY

media. The CCTV footage showed co-accused, Ajay Kumar, engaged without official duty order in connivance with Centre Superintendent, Ganesh Paswan, and the petitioner, taking absent candidates' question paper from room no. 15 around 10:30 A.M. and returning them ten minutes later. It was alleged that Ajay Kumar photographed the questions in a pre-planned manner and shared them online to cause cheating.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent, has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case due to old enmity and local politics. The petitioner submits that she had no knowledge of the occurrence, and that she has no concern with the alleged viral video or the co-accused, Ajay Kumar. It is also stated that the co-accused, Ajay Kumar moved across halls on the Centre Superintendent's direction and question papers were taken out after the examination started at 10:30 A.M, therefore, the paper was not leaked by the petitioner

5. It is further submitted that no electronic material was recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and all the witnesses are official and interest witnesses with no independent or eye-witnesses and not even a single candidate have complained the same.

WEB COPY

6. The petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 28.07.2026 and having clean antecedent. Investigation has been completed and charge sheet has already been filed against the petitioner.

7. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts that the petitioner is a woman with clean antecedent, the period of custody as also taking into consideration the materials available on record, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada in connection with Nawada P.S. Case No. 600 of 2026, subject to following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) the petitioner shall co-operate in trial and will remain present on all dates personally or should be duly represented through his counsel and whenever required to be physically present by the court, he should physically appear in the court on that date.

(iii) if the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the

WEB COPY

witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Kumar Manish, J)

barkha/-

U		T	

WEB COPY