

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78881 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-998 Year-2024 Thana- BIHTA District- Patna

Bipul Kumar @ Bipul Singh Son Of Krishna Singh Resident Of Village-
Jinpura, P.s.- Bihta, District- Patna, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. P. N. Shahi, Sr. Advocate

Mr.Amit Anand, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2026 Heard learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Bihta P.S. Case
No. 998 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 25(1-
b)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 12.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 18198 of 2025, which reads as under:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State Shri
Jharkhandi Upadhyay.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail
in connection with Hathua P.S. Case No. 998
of 2024 registered for the offence under
Sections 25(1-b)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.*

*3. As per the prosecution case, the
petitioner has been caught with illegal arms
and two co-accused have also been caught*



with him.

4. From the FIR, it appears that the petitioner is a member of a gang being led by Manik Singh. The petitioner is in custody since 27.10.2024.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

7. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna is directed to examine the records of the case and he will decide that whether Section 111 of the BNS is applicable in the facts of the case or not. If the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna finds that Section 111 of the BNS is applicable in this case, he will direct the I.O. of the case to act accordingly.

8. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna through FAX for its compliance.”

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits
that the trial has started.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact
that the trial has started, I am not inclined to review my earlier
order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

