IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78184 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011144072026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-19 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Bhagalpur

==========

Gautam Kumar Yadav @ Gautam Ku Yadav S/O Shatrudhan Yadav R/O Village- Chhoti Bharatshila, P.S- Shambhuganj, District- Banka.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Puja Kumari D/O Jagarnath Sah R/O Mohalla- Abjuganj, P.S- Sultanganj, Distt.- Bhagalpur, At present R/o Rekabganj, P.S.- Bhagalpur, Distt.- Bhagalpur.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinod Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Lakshmi Kant Sharma,APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 79, 85, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner at the outset submits that though form perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR it would manifest that the dispute is matrimonial, but then it is submitted that petitioner asserts and denies that he ever married the informant, it is next

WEB COPY

submitted that though informant in the FIR alleges that she was married to the petitioner about one and a half year ago in a temple at Purnea, but then has not disclosed the name of the temple where she was married, it is next submitted no doubt petitioner and the informant were in a relationship, but then the relationship soured and thereafter, the instant false case came to be instituted.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner, but then learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant is not a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that informant has not disclosed the name of the temple where she got married to the petitioner.

5. On query of the Court from the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant that whether the informant during the course of the investigation disclosed the name of the temple, on which it is fairly submitted that during the course of investigation also, the name of the temple was not disclosed.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the petitioner

WEB COPY

above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bhagalpur Mahila P.S. Case No. 19 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY