IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78212 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011143442026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-76 Year-2026 Thana- Chainpur District- Siwan

==========

Manish Mahto @ Manish Kumar Son of Dilip Mahto Resident of Village - Ramgarh, P.S. - Chainpur, District - Siwan.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 55 liters of liquor from a place near a bamboo tree.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner and is accessible to villagers at large and he came to be

WEB COPY

implicated at the instance of local person and Chowkidar, but then it is submitted that if Chowkidar and local person were aware of the involvement of the petitioner in the occurrence then why they did not inform the police prior to institution of the instant FIR which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution. It is further submitted that Dharmendra and Ramnath were arrested, but they have not disclosed the name of the petitioner which also casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution about the involvement of the petitioner in the occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chainpur P.S. Case No. 76 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

WEB COPY

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY