

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82914 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-166 Year-2025 Thana- BHORE District- Gopalganj

Hareram Yadav S/o Bunel Yadav R/o Village- Damkiya, P.S- Bhorey, Dist-
Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhimanyu Deo, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-03-2026 Heard Mr. Abhimanyu Deo, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Anil Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
07.05.2025 in connection with Bhorey P.S. Case No. 166 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 07.05.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 103(2), 249(a) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioner along
with other co-accused persons are alleged to have killed the son
of the informant with knife.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in the
F.I.R is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed
any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. The present case is counter-
blast of Bhorey P.S. Case No. 167/2025 lodged by the



petitioner's side against the informant. From bare perusal of the FIR, it appears that there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act attributed against the petitioner rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioner. Although the postmortem report suggests that the victim has received only two injuries but in the FIR the allegation is that three persons have assaulted the victim with knife. There is no record in the finding that who has assaulted the victim. The petitioner is in custody since 07.05.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one but he fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in the said case as mentioned in Paragraph 4 of the supplementary affidavit.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act attributed against the petitioner to assault the victim with knife, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court of learned S.D.J.M., Gopalganj, in connection with



Bhorey P.S. Case No. 166 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

