

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80245 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-94 Year-2025 Thana- MOHANPUR District- Samastipur

=====

Mohan Ray S/o Late Ram Avtar Ray Resident of Village- Baghara, P.S.-
Mohanpur, Dist.- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Parwati Devi W/o Brajesh Ray Resident of Village- Baghara, P.S.-
Mohanpur, Dist.- Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Pradeep Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Amitesh Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Vatsal Verma, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 22-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite

Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 75, 76, 352,
351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Sections 4 and 12 of the
POCSO Act.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that all the
F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner,



attempted to sexually assault the minor grand daughter of the informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case with ulterior motive. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there is specific accusation that this petitioner, along with other F.I.R. named accused persons, tried to sexually assault the minor granddaughter of the informant. The victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. has supported the prosecution case and the learned trial court has assessed the age of victim as 11 years.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation, gravity of offence and statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S., the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the



petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

