IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78667 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011142562026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-103 Year-2025 Thana- Rampur Chauram District- Arwal

==========

1. Manish Kumar @ Manish Yadav son of Rajesh Yadav Resident of village- Khajanchi Bigha, Khajanti Bigha, (Khajauti Bigha) P.S.- Devkund, District- Arwal
2. Rajesh Yadav son of late Rambarat Yadav. Resident of village- Khajanchi Bigha, Khajanti Bigha, (Khajauti Bigha) P.S.- Devkund, District- Arwal
3. Anish Kumar @ Anish Yadav son of Rajesh Yadav Resident of village- Khajanchi Bigha, Khajanti Bigha, (Khajauti Bigha) P.S.- Devkund, District- Arwal

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Babita Kumari Wife of late Sanish Kumar D/o Vijay Yadav Presently resides at village and P.S. Rampur Chauram, District- Arwal.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paras Nath, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This application for anticipatory bail arises out of Rampur Chauram P.S. Case No. 103 of 2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 74, 85, 352, 351(2), 351(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegation, the marriage of the informant was solemnised with Sanish Kumar Yadav on 06.05.2025. The husband of the informant used to work in

WEB COPY

Kejariwal Casting Company on the post of Supervisor, when on 10.08.2025, her husband died in harness. Thereafter, on 24.08.2025, the accused petitioners as well as co-accused persons, who happen to be the elder-brother-in-law (Bhaisur), father-in-law and younger-brother-in-law (Devar) respectively started to assault the informant alleging her to be a witch. It has further been alleged that petitioner No.3 caught hold her hair and confined her in a room, whereafter, her sister-in-law as also mother-in-law started assaulting her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that for the alleged occurrence having been committed on 24.08.2025, the present F.I.R. was lodged on 13.12.2025, after a delay of more than 3 and a half months. It has further been submitted that the compensation amount given by the company was issued in the form of cheque in the name of the informant and the actual bone of contention between the parties is the said compensation amount. It has further been submitted that there is no injury report of the informant on record so as to substantiate the assault meted out to her.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer

WEB COPY

of anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the delayed lodging of the F.I.R. as also the genesis of occurrence, non-availability of injury report of informant, let the petitioners above named, in the event of their arrest/surrender within six weeks from today in the Court below, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Arwal, in connection with Rampur Chauram P.S. Case No. 103 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Abdus/-

U		T	

WEB COPY