

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77704 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2024 Thana- JURAWANPUR District- Vaishali

1. Rahul Rai @ Rahul Kumar S/o- Kailu Rai @ Kapildev Rai @ Kapildev Ray Resident Of Village- Raghapur Purvi, Ward No-12, Ps- Jurawanpur, Dist- Vaishali
2. Chus Rai S/o- Kailu Rai @ Kapildev Rai @ Kapildev Ray Resident Of Village- Raghapur Purvi, Ward No-12, Ps- Jurawanpur, Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivjee Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 03-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The bail petition of the petitioners have been dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 03.10.2024 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 63049 of 2024 and vide order dated 13.12.2024 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 62003 of 2024, respectively.

3. The petitioners seek bail in connection with S.T. No. 233 of 2025, arising out of Jurawanpur P.S. Case No. 36 of 2024, instituted for the offences under Sections 304(B) and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. Prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioners



along with other family members tortured and killed the deceased for the non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner no.1 is the husband and petitioner No.2 is the Bhaisur of the deceased. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that other co-accused has been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 13.12.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 62003 of 2024. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners are in custody since 11.11.2024 and 20.11.2024, respectively, and have no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners. Specific allegation is attributed against the petitioners. Hence, they do not deserve bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account direct allegation against the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners is, hereby, rejected.



9. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

10. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of three months from today. If any such application is filed before the learned court below, the court concerned shall consider the same on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

