

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.4506 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2025 Thana- SC/ST District- Vaishali

-
1. Sunny Kumar Son of Rakesh Kumar @ Rakesh Kumar Singh Resident of Village - Manpur, P.S.- Lalganj, District - Vaishali.
 2. Vishal Kumar @ Vishal Kumar Singh Son of Late Shyam Kishore Singh Resident of Village - Manpur, P.S.- Lalganj, District - Vaishali.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Nand Kishore Manjhi Son of Shivjee Manjhi Resident of village - Madhurapur Kushde, P.S.- Lalganj, District - Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Shyameshwar Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sadanand Paswan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 4 03-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned Spl. P.P. for the State, Sri Sadanand Paswan.
2. No one appears on behalf of the informant.
3. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 11-8-2025 in A.B.P. No. 1931 of 2025 passed by the learned Exclusive Special Judge S.C./S.T.-cum-District &Additional Sessions Judge, Vaishali at Hajipur, in connection with Hajipur SC/ST P.S. Case No. 45 of 2025, registered for the



offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 352, 351(2), and 3(5) of the BNS as well as Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) of the SC/ST Act.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that Raushan Kumar had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 4307 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 21-1-2026 after considering the case in details and on merit. It is next submitted that the case of the appellant, if not akin, is similar to the case of Raushan Kumar.

5. The Court has perused the allegations as alleged in the FIR and the order dated 21-1-2026 in Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 4307 of 2025 and finds that the case of appellant is similar to the case of Raushan Kumar.

6. Learned Spl. P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellant.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, let the appellant above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where



the case is pending/successor court in connection with the
aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under
Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

8. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and
the appeal stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

