IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78283 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011137012026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2026 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

==========

1. Maheshwar Sada S/O Late Biraji Sada @ Wiraji Sada R/O Village- Raghopur, Mushahari Tola, Ward No. 5, P.S.- Raghopur, Distt.- Supaul, Pin Code- 852111.
2. Shiv Shankar Kumar S/O Maheshwar Sada R/O Village- Raghopur, Mushahari Tola, Ward No.5, P.S- Raghopur, Dist.- Supaul, Pin Code- 852111.
3. Baidhnath Sada @ Baijnath Sada S/O Late Kailu Sada R/O Village- Raghopur, Mushahari Tola, Ward No. 5, P.S.-Raghopur, Dist.- Suapual, Pin Code- 852111
4. Hareram Kumar Sada @ Hareram Kumar S/O Baidhnath Sada R/O Village- Raghopur, Mushahari Tola, Ward No. 5, P.S.- Raghopur, Distt.- Supaul, Pin Code- 852111.
5. Ashok Sada S/O Bauku Sada R/O Village- Raghopur, Mushahari Tola, Ward No. 5, P.S.- Raghopur, Distt.- Supaul, Pin Code- 852111.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Purushottam Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tarun Prasad Mandal, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Purushottam Kumar and Mr. Tarun Prasad Mandal, learned APP on behalf of the State.

2. This is an application for grant of regular bail to the petitioners who have been made accused in connection with Raghopur P.S. FIR Case No. 179 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 109,

WEB COPY

132, 121(1), 121(2) and 324(5) of the B.N.S, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant, a PTC 1055, alleged that during the night patrolling the police found three to four boys with two motorcycles near Raghopur Canal Musahari Tola, Dinabhadri Temple and asked them to return home. The boys allegedly argued with the police and shouted at them because of which thirty to thirty five men and women assembled with bamboo, lathi, farsa and dabiya. The assembled persons allegedly assaulted the police party causing injuries to the informant and the lady constable and also damaging the government vehicle. The Home Guard fled away with the government rifle and during the search, the government rifle was recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are quite innocent, have committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case due to ulterior motive and village politics. The petitioners have been named in the FIR on the confessional statement of the arrested accused.

5. It is further submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they have no role in the incident and they were not present at the place of occurrence. There are no direct or specific allegations against the petitioners and there is no dispute of

WEB COPY

these petitioners with the informant. The petitioners have also lodged complaint before the Bihar State Scheduled Castes Commission regarding the entire incident.

6. The petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 24.05.2026 and having clean antecedents. Investigation has been completed and chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioners.

7. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts that there are no specific allegations against the petitioners, the period of custody as also taking into consideration the materials available on record, let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM Birpur, Supaul in connection with Raghopur P.S. Case No. 179 of 2026, subject to following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/close relative of the petitioners.

(ii) the petitioners shall co-operate in trial and will remain present on all dates personally or should be duly represented through their counsel and whenever required to be

WEB COPY

physically present by the court, they should physically appear in the court on that date.

(iii) if the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Kumar Manish, J)

barkha/-

U		T	

WEB COPY