

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (DB) No.1381 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2018 Thana- KORANSARAI District- Buxar

Bhupendra Singh @ Bhupendra Rai S/o- Mahendra Singh @ Mahendra Rai
Village- Mathila Ps-Koransarai Dist-Buxar

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dhanushdhari Singh @ Bittu Singh S/o- Onkar Nath Singh Village- Mathila
PS-Koransarai Dist-Buxar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr.Ranjay Kumar Singh, Advocate Mr. Fardeen Abdul, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Binod Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the R-2	:	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
and
HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)

10 17-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the appellant, the
respondent no.2 and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for
the State.

2. The present appeal has been preferred for setting
aside the judgment of acquittal dated 09.04.2024 passed by the
learned Sessions Judge, Buxar in Sessions Trial No.111/2019
arising out of Koransarai P.S. Case No.03/2018 dated
09.01.2018 registered under Sections 363 and 366A of the
Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC'), 1860. Subsequently Section
302 IPC was also added. The accused-respondent no.2 has been



acquitted of all the charges.

3. In course of argument, learned counsel for the appellant admits that the name of the respondent no.2 was involved in this case on the basis of suspicion because the victim was having a regular talk with the accused-respondent no.2 over mobile phones. It is also admitted that nobody has seen the victim in the company of the respondent no.2. As the hearing proceeded, learned counsel for the appellant having sensed that the case is based on the circumstantial evidence and the chain of circumstances is not getting completed, sought permission to withdraw the appeal.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State have no objection to the same.

5. In the circumstances, we permit learned counsel for the appellant to withdraw the appeal. It is dismissed accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

