IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80137 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011136352026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-296 Year-2026 Thana- PIPRAKOTHI District- East Champaran

==========

Chhotelal Choudhary @ Chhotelal Lal Choudhary Son of Late Raghu Chaoudhary Resident of Village - Dhekaha, P.S.- Piprakothi, District- East Champaran.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Ginni Priya, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Meena Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Piprakothi P.S. Case No. 296 of 2026 registered for the offences under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 351(1) and 352 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is said to have assaulted the informant with iron rod, causing serious injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and in fact, no occurrence as alleged has taken place. It has further been

WEB COPY

submitted that the allegation of assault with iron rod on the head is not corroborated by the injuries sustained by the informant, which was found to be simple in nature with the noting *"a lacerated wound on parietal region of head.*". It has next been submitted that the injury was superficial in nature and moreover, the petitioner and informant are agnates and on account of land dispute, the present FIR has been lodged.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid submission, facts and circumstances of the case and that the injuries found on the person of the informant was simple in nature, let the petitioner above named be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Piprakothi P.S. Case No. 296 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

WEB COPY

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be their close relative.
(ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.
(iv) If the petitioners are found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.
(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed.

8. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

aditya/-

U		T	

WEB COPY