

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77856 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2024 Thana- BARDAHA District- Araria

Bhuttu Ram @ Bhulai Ram Son of Late Basudeo Ram Resident Of Village  
-Karahbari Police Station- Bardaha District- Araria ... .. Petitioner/s  
Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Raja Jha @ Raja Babu Son of Kamlanand Jha Resident Of Village - Aashram road,  
Ward no. 15, Ps and Dist- Araria  
... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP  
For the Informant : Md. Mumtaz Uddin, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      22-01-2026                      Heard Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana, learned counsel  
for the petitioner, Md. Mumtaz Uddin, learned counsel for the  
Informant as well as Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, learned Additional  
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since  
06.08.2025 in connection with Bardaha P.S. Case No. 23 of  
2024, F.I.R. dated 23.03.2024 for the offences punishable under  
Sections 420, 406, 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant  
alleged that the petitioner along with other co-accused person  
took Rs.12,14,000/- from him for registry of land but did not do  
the same.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case. He further submits that the petitioner had taken money in tune of Rs. 2,64,000/- only from the informant and he is ready to pay the same and rest amount to be paid after the final outcome of the present case.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner carries one criminal antecedent.

6. Learned counsel for the Informant submits that the petitioner had taken Rs. 12,14,000/- from the informant but on instruction, ready to accept Rs. 2,64,000/- from the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-I Class, Araria in connection with Bardaha P.S. Case No. 23 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:-

i. At the time of furnishing bail bond, the petitioner shall produce a demand draft of Rs. 2,64,000/- (Rupees Two Lakh Sixty Four Thousand) in favour of the informant and learned court below is directed to handover the said demand draft to the informant or his representative.

ii. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

iii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iv. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Suruchi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

