

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77035 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-134 Year-2025 Thana- DELHA District- Gaya

Aryan Raj @ Chhotu Yadav Son of Shankar Yadav Vill -Bairagi, Ward No 10,
PS- Delha, Dist -Gaya ji

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Priya Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 02-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Delha
P.S. Case No. 134 of 2025, instituted for the offences under
Sections 25(1)-b,a 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that informant received
information that accused of Delha P.S. Case No. 112 of 2025
was roaming near Bahera Bigha. Thereafter, informant along
with other Police personnel reached there and apprehended the
petitioner. The petitioner disclosed that informant of Delha P.S.
Case No. 112 of 2025 was constructing sewage and the
petitioner and co-accused persons have demanded extortion
money from him and when he refused then they fired, which hit
on his door.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-Sheet has been submitted in this case. He further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He further submitted that petitioner has not kept the said arms at the place of recovery rather his friend has kept the same. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 15.06.2025 and has six criminal antecedents. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing of charge, if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Delha P.S. Case No. 134 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:



(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the prosecution will have liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence or the witnesses during the trial.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

