

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78158 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2025 Thana- RASULPUR District- Saran

1. Jay Prakash Singh @ Bulbul Singh S/o Kamla Singh Resident of Village- Eatahari, P.S.- Rasulpur, Distt.- Saran
2. Aman Singh S/o Late Basdev Singh Resident of Village- Eatahari, P.S.- Rasulpur, Distt.- Saran
3. Ananant Singh @ Anant Singh S/o Chedi Singh Resident of Village- Eatahari, P.S.- Rasulpur, Distt.- Saran
4. Pradip Singh @ Pradeep Kumar Singh S/o Bhirgunath Singh Resident of Village- Eatahari, P.S.- Rasulpur, Distt.- Saran
5. Sandip Kumar Singh @ Sandeep Kumar Singh S/o Late Sambhu Singh Resident of Village- Eatahari, P.S.- Rasulpur, Distt.- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anish Chandra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 05-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that he along with his brother Ranjit were cleaning their



land when Jai Prakash, Aman and Varun came and objected, on which the informant said that the land is his purchased land, thereafter all the three accused assaulted him by *lathi*, *danda* and rod causing injury on head and also assaulted his brother brutally causing injury below his neck, further Sandip, Pradeep and Anant fired several rounds when police came and two empty cartridges were recovered, further their relative Amit is a criminal.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant on account of dispute relating to land. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the occurrence took place when the side of the petitioners objected cleaning of the land by the informant and his side. It is further submitted that the land belonged to the side of the petitioners which the side of the informant was trying to capture and usurp, accordingly, an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is also submitted that no doubt one of the injuries suffered by the informant has been opined to be grievous, but then allegation of assault is not specific and allegation of firing is ornamental, as no one was injured in the firing. It is also



submitted that even cartridges were provided from the side of the informant. It is reiterated and submitted that on account of dispute relating to land, an altercation had taken place in which both sides assaulted each other and from side of the petitioners also one person received eight injuries, as would manifest from Annexure-3 series to the anticipatory bail application. It is also submitted that petitioners are not criminals. It is next submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rasulpur P.S. Case No. 66 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the



Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioners despite giving assurance to this Court are not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

