IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17447 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011134032026

==========

Md Manzar Alam Son of late Shamsuzzama, Resident of village- Lailokhar, Tola- Garaiya, Ward No.- 3, P.S.-Kursakanta, District- Araria,

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar.
2. The Collector, Araria.
3. Taiyaba Khatoon Wife of Abdus Salam, D/o Late Shamsuzzama, resident of village- Laikokhar Tola Garaiya, P.O.- Pahunsi, P.S.-Kursakanta, District- Araria.

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kr. Singh, GA(1)

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 23-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State-respondents.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed for the following relief(s):-

(i) For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing and commanding the respondent concern (Respondent No.2) to pass the final order in Jamabandi Appeal No.57 of 2021-22 (Manzar Alame Vs. Taiyaba Khatoon) (arising out of order dt. 21.08.2023 passed in Jamabandi Cancellation Case No. 371/2022 passed by the Additional Collector, Araria) which is pending before the Collector, Araria since 2023 for

WEB COPY

adjudication.

(ii) For any other Writ / Writs, Order / Orders, Direction/Directions may be issued as your Lordships may deem fit and proper.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, by referring to the order passed by the Additional Collector, Araria, in Jamabandi Cancellation Case No. 371/2022, which has been appended to the writ petition as Annexure-P/2, submits that against the order passed in the said jamabandi cancellation case, the petitioner is said to have preferred an appeal, being Jamabandi Appeal No. 57/2021-22, arising out of the order dated 21.08.2023 passed by the Additional Collector, Araria, which has not been decided till date.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits, by referring to the entitlement of this petitioner who is said to have made a will beyond his right was subject matter of consideration before the Additional Collector, Araria and the petitioner having not placed prudent response to such quarries, the order impugned has been passed.

5. Be that as it may, since a statutory appeal has been preferred before the District Magistrate, Araria, vide Jamabandi Appeal No. 57/2021-22, the District Magistrate, Araria, is

WEB COPY

expected to adjudicate the same in accordance with law. It is, accordingly, expected that the appeal shall be decided, after giving of hearing to all concerned persons connected with the property in question, within a period of two months from the date of representation.

6. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rajan/-

U			

WEB COPY