IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77270 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011133982026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-175 Year-2024 Thana- SACHIVALAYA District- Patna

==========

Manish Kumar, S/O Suresh Paswan, R/O Village- Pandaul, P.S.- Pandaul, Distt.- Madhubani.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Harsh Anuj, Advocate
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Asha Devi, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sachiwalay P.S. Case No. 175 of 2024 registered for the offences under Sections 318(2), 336(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Allegedly, during the course of verification of documents of applicants in respect of Advertisement No. 1 of 2019, the certificate of some of the applicants, including the petitioner, were found to be forged. It is specifically alleged that the certificate produced by the petitioner in relation to Swami Vivekanand University, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh is different from

WEB COPY

the certificate submitted by other applicants.

4. Learned advocate for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner himself is a victim of the circumstances. In fact, he got himself admitted in Gani Nath Technical College, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga in Diploma Engineering (Mechanical) for the session 2014-2016 and since he was informed that the College, in question, namely Swami Viveka Nand University, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh, is a duly recognized and affiliated College, he took admission in the same and thereafter a certificate has been issued in his favour. The petitioner has never been informed with regard to the *bona fide* or genuineness of the certificate issued by Swami Viveka Nand University, Madhya Pradesh. The entire prosecution case is based on documentary evidence and the petitioner undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court. It is lastly contended that other co-accused person, facing identical allegations, has been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court in Criminal Misc. No. 65331 of 2026 vide order dated 09.09.2026. The petitioner also bears fair antecedent.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the bail application.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions advanced by learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that the entire case is based on documentary evidence, inasmuch as the case of the petitioner is based on parity with that of the person, who has been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail, besides the petitioner is carrying fair antecedent and he undertakes that he will cooperate in the proceeding of the court, let the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-II, Patna in connection with Sachiwalay P.S. Case No. 175 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close relative of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U		T	

WEB COPY