

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78105 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-263 Year-2025 Thana- HILSA District- Nalanda

=====

Arun Bind @ Arun Kumar, aged about 22 years, S/o Viraje Bind R/o Village-
Horilbigha, PS- Hilsa, Distt- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X D/o Y R/o Horilbigha, P.S.- Hilsa, Distt.- Nalanda

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gajanan Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 07-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the

informant.

2. At the outset, learned counsels appearing on behalf
of the respective parties inform this Court that the parties have
settled their dispute outside the Court by way of mediation and
to that effect, they have filed a joint compromise petition dated
10.12.2025 before the learned District Court.

3. Record reveals that both the parties are known to
each-other and they are neighbour. The petitioner is aged about
22 years and the father of the petitioner, who was brutally
assaulted by the informant's side had lodged a criminal case
bearing Hilsa P.S. Case No.255 of 2025 and subsequent to the



same, on the basis of false allegation, the present FIR has been lodged against the petitioner.

4. This Court directs the learned District Court to adjudicate upon the compromise petition, taking into consideration the background of the case, wherein both parties have lodged FIRs against each other. It is also taken note that the petitioner is aged about 22 years and the victim is aged about 14 years and there can be some sort of relationship leading to commission of offence, which was objected by the parents of the informant. Considering this aspect also, the learned District Court is directed to examine the case in light of the observation made by the Madras High Court in the case of ***Vijayalakshmi & Anr. vs. State Rep. by the Inspector of Police & Anr.*** reported in ***(2021) SCC OnLine Mad 317*** and law laid down by the Apex Court in case of ***Naushey Ali & Ors. Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & Anr.*** reported in ***(2025) 4 SCC 78*** and pass a reasoned order.

5. As the petitioner is already having interim protection, the learned District Court may provisionally release the petitioner on pre-arrest bail on such terms and conditions, as the learned District Court deems it fit and proper, till the learned District Court finally adjudicates the matter.



6. The bail application, accordingly, stands disposed
of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

