IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78101 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011131372026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-296 Year-2026 Thana- Raghunathpur District- East Champaran

==========

Aashish Kumar son of Anmol Jha Resident of Village- Narayanpur, PS- Bhaptiyahi, District- Supaul,

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Bihar patna Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhavesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP
For the Vigilance : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard Mr. Bhavesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, learned APP for the State and Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for the Vigilance.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 13.09.2026, in connection with Special Case No. 48 of 2026 arising out of Raghunathpur P.S. Case No. 296 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 12.09.2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 308(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 7 of the P.C. Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other co-accused person came and threatened the informant to send him jail in illicit liquor and Ganja. Due to this fear, he

WEB COPY

transferred Rs. 5,000/- via Phonepe to a mobile number belonging to one of the constable's wives and additionally he paid them R. 15,000/- in cash.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 13.09.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State as well as learned counsel for the Vigilance, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent as well as period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Court of Vigilance under P.C. Act, 1988 (Except DA Case) North Bihar, Muzaffarpur in connection with Special Case No. 48 of 2026 arising out of Raghunathpur P.S. Case No. 296 of 2026, subject to the

WEB COPY

following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	

WEB COPY