

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76744 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-312 Year-2024 Thana- NADI P.S. District- Patna

=====

Ravi Kumar S/o Umesh Ray R/o Village- Jethuli, PS- Nadi, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Rakesh Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

For the Informant : Mr. Abu Nasar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 05-05-2026 Heard the learned Senior counsel for the petitioner,

learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with

Nadi P.S. Case No. 312 of 2024 registered for the offence under

Sections 80, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is

accused of killing his wife along with the other accused persons.

4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits

that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He

further submits that the petitioner has not killed his wife rather

the deceased had committed suicide which is apparent from the

postmortem report. Except for the injury in the neck there is no



external injury. He also submits that the family of the deceased was informed by the family of the petitioner and there is a two years child who is being looked after by the old parents of the petitioner. Learned senior counsel further submits that out of eight witnesses only two witnesses have been examined. Petitioner is in custody since 13.05.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State as well as informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner has killed the deceased and therefore he should remain in custody during the entire trial.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody, postmortem report and the delay in trial, this application for regular bail is allowed.

7. Accordingly, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned where the case is pending/successor court, in connection with Nadi P.S. Case No. 312 of 2024.

8. It is made clear that any observation made herein is prima facie in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observations



shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case
and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any
manner.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

