

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83468 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-374 Year-2018 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Mahtab Alam Son of Late Abdul Gaffar Resident of Village - Mahua
Mukundpur, P.S.- Mahua, District - Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Priyanka Singh,
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

15 15-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mahua
P.S. Case No. 374/2018 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 472 and 120B/34 of Indian
Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, brothers Waseem and
Mahtab Alam (petitioner) are alleged to have cheated the
informant by selling a plot for ₹1.10 crore with ₹50 lakh
advance and after RTGS transfer of ₹35 lakh, sale deed was
executed and the petitioner is also alleged to have extorted a
Scorpio vehicle and phone. The land in question belonged
originally to Kaushalya Devi, who had already sold the land to



others.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner had undertaken to pay the complainant Rs. 50 lakhs out of which he has paid Rs. Rs. 10 lakhs and the remaining Rs. 40 lakhs has not been paid by him. Learned counsel further submits that he has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 04.09.2024. The petitioner undertakes to co-operate in the case/ trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Petitioner after grant of interim bail was absconding and he has surrendered pursuant to the orders of this Court.

7. Considering the huge amount of money cheated by the petitioner and also the false undertaking given by the petitioner in this Court, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this application stands dismissed and the court below is directed to expedite the trial.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

