IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78574 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011130172026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-196 Year-2026 Thana- ROHTAS District- Rohtas

==========

1. Anand Kumar son of Ram Pravesh Thakur@ Ram Pravesh Sharma. Resident of village - Thumha, P.S. - Rohtas, District- Rohtas
2. Ram Pravesh Thakur @ Ram Pravesh Sharma son of Bhagwat Thakur. Resident of village - Thumha, P.S. - Rohtas, District- Rohtas
3. Lala Thakur@ Lalan Kumar @ Lalan Kumar Thakur son of Triveni Thakur. Resident of village - Thumha, P.S. - Rohtas, District- Rohtas
4. Sangeeta Devi @ Sangita Devi wife of Lala Thakur @ Lalan Kumar@ Lalan Kumar Thakur. Resident of village - Thumha, P.S. - Rohtas, District- Rohtas

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prasoon Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nand Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Rohtas P.S. Case No. 196 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 329(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, owing to a trivial incident that had occurred on the previous night, the petitioners came to the doorstep of the informant and assaulted him as well

WEB COPY

as his father.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that the genesis of the occurrence was a trivial issue and there was no premeditation to kill. It has also been submitted that the informant sustained only one abrasion injury, which was opined to be simple in nature. Moreover, since the occurrence arose out of a trivial issue, the parties have amicably settled their grievances and a joint compromise petition have been filed by them. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioners have clean criminal antecedent, as stated in paragraph 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the general and omnibus nature of the allegations, the injury report and the genesis of the occurrence, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.

WEB COPY

10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Rohtas, in connection with Rohtas P.S. Case No. 196 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

8. This application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	

WEB COPY