IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78655 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011129172026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-200 Year-2026 Thana- PANCHRUKHI District- Siwan

==========

1. Chandan Kumar S/O Shankar Prasad Resident of Village- Pachrukhi, P.S.- Pachrukhi, Distt- Siwan
2. Sagar Prasad @ Samundra Kumar S/O Pashuram Prasad Resident of Village- Pachrukhi, P.S.- Pachrukhi, Distt- Siwan
3. Baskinath Prasad @ Baskinath Kumar S/O Pashuram Prasad Resident of Village- Pachrukhi, P.S.- Pachrukhi, Distt- Siwan

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Naresh Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Mrityunjaya Kr. Gautam, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pachrukhi P.S. Case No. 200 of 2026 dated 23.08.2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the allegation, over a dispute as regards the extraction of mud in a passage, quarrel took place. It has further been alleged that the petitioner no. 1 armed with *tangi*, petitioner no. 2 armed with rod and petitioner no. 3 armed with

WEB COPY

bricks, assaulted the informant as well as his sister.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case, while there happens to be a case and counter case between the parties, when both sides alleged the genesis of occurrence regarding the extraction of mud. Moreover, it has been submitted that there was a free fight between the parties, out of the said dispute. It has been submitted, referring to the injury report of the informant annexed as Annexure- P/3 that all the injuries were opined to be simple in nature. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the genesis of the occurrence as also the nature of the injuries, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IX, Siwan, District-Siwan, in

WEB COPY

connection with Pachrukhi P.S. Case No. 200 of 2026, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Aarti/-

U		T	

WEB COPY