IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77293 of 2026
CNR No. BRHC011128812026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-114 Year-2026 Thana- TETERHAT District- Lakhisarai

==========

Satanarayan Yadav @ Satyanarayan Kumar S/O Late Haricharan Yadav R/V-Khairi, P.S.- Tatarhat, District- Lakhisarai

... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mayank Bilochan, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar, Adv.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 29-10-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned A.P.P. on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner has been made an accused in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegations made in the F.I.R., on account of a previously admitted dispute, the informant was allegedly assaulted by seven F.I.R. named accused persons, including the petitioner. It is further alleged that the petitioner assaulted the informant on his head with an iron rod.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a delay of three days in lodging of the F.I.R., as the occurrence happened on 28.07.2026 and the First Information Report came to be lodged on 30.07.2026. Learned counsel further submits that the occurrence happened in the heat of moment due to a previous dispute, and there was no repetition of blows by the petitioner. Learned counsel next refers to the

WEB COPY

injury report enclosed with this application to submit that the informant sustained three injuries. Out of these, the injury found on the scalp of the informant is found to be simple in nature, whereas the remaining two injuries- which have not been attributed to the petitioner are reported to be grievous. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the investigation and trial and not to repeat the offence. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has a clean antecedent.

5. The petitioner has been in judicial custody since 17.08.2026.

6. The Learned A.P.P. on behalf of the State has opposed the application.

7. Taking into consideration the aforesaid fact that the injury on the informant’s head has been found to be simple in nature and as such there is no repetition of blows and the fact that the occurrence took place in the heat of moment, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court of J.M. -I, Lakhisarai or concerned Court, in connection with Teterhat P.S. Case No. 114 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:-

WEB COPY

(i) The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of the trial.

(ii) One of the bailors must be a close relative of the petitioner, such as his mother, father, brother, sister or wife.

(iii) The petitioner will appear on each and every date fixed by the trial court and if he fails to do so on two consecutive dates, unless he is prevented by extremely adverse circumstances, the learned court below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

(iv) If the petitioner repeats an offence of a similar nature, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the Court below after following due process of law.

(v) Before release, the learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if the petitioner is found involved in any case other than the present one, his bail bond shall not be accepted.

(vi) It is also made clear that there shall be no delay in verifying the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and the delay shall not extend beyond the period of ten days.

(Raj Kumar, J)

prachi/-

U			

WEB COPY