

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77302 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-65 Year-2025 Thana- DUMARIAGHAT District- East
Champaran

=====

Satendra Pandey @ Satyendra Pandey S/O Bashisth Pandey @ Vashishth
Pandey Resident Of Village Rampur Kharjuriya, P.S.- Dumariyaghat, Dist.-
East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv. Mr. Hemant Ray, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Manjeet Mishra, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 25-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of

the informant.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the

offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 352, 351(2),

126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 117(2), 303(2), 74 of the B.N.S.,

2023.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

petitioner had earlier moved before this Court seeking regular

bail by filing Cr. Misc. No. 52424/2025 and the same came to

be rejected by an order dated 19.09.2025 with a liberty to the

petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge. It



is submitted that the charges were framed on 24.09.2025 and thereafter three witnesses have been examined and altogether there are seven witnesses. It is next submitted that the instant bail application has been filed on 31.10.2025 but then the bail application remained pending.

4. Learned A.P.P. and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that since three witnesses have been examined, as such the regular bail application of the petitioner be rejected, on which, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the regular bail application has been filed in terms of the liberty granted and the same was filed the moment charges came to be framed but since the case was not taken up and in the meantime three witnesses have been examined. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court



where the case is pending/successor court in connection with
Dumariyaghat P.S. Case No.65 of 2025.

6. Further, one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be
his Mama, namely, Vijay Pathak.

7. It is made clear that if the learned trial court comes
to a conclusion that petitioner after his release is trying to delay
the trial in any manner or is trying to tamper with the evidence,
in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel
the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

