

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77933 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-551 Year-2023 Thana- ROSERA District- Samastipur

Rohit Kumar @ Buchcha Son of Lakshmi Mahto @ Laxmi Mahto R/o
Sakruli, P.S. - Cheriya Bariyarpur, District - Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Rajeev, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Lakshmi Kant Sharma, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 09-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rosera
P.S. Case No. 551 of 2023 instituted for the offences under
Sections 394, 302 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of
the Arms Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected by this Court vide order dated
04.07.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 52460 of 2024 taking into
consideration the nature and gravity of the offence as also stage
of the trial.

4. In compliance of the order dated 13.11.2025, a
report dated 21.11.2025 with regard to the present stage of trial
has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it



appears that charge has been framed against the petitioner and other co-accused persons on 02.04.2024. It is further reported that total six prosecution witnesses have been examined. The case is pending for evidence of I.O. and other private witness. Non-bailable warrant has been issued on private witness and summon has been issued for examination of rest official witnesses. The trial is likely to be concluded within a period of six months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 02.11.2023 without any rhyme or reason.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

