

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77448 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-277 Year-2024 Thana- AMAS District- Gaya

Sudhir Kumar @ Sudhir Chaudhary S/O Naresh Chaudhary R/O Village-
Gulni, P.S.- Gurua, Distt.- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 309(4) of the
BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case under the Excise Act and
the informant alleges that on 17.08.2024, while he was going
home from Sherghati on his motorcycle, when he was
intercepted by three unknown miscreants, who looted his
motorcycle and mobile on point of pistol.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case during
the course of investigation. It is further submitted that informant



and petitioner are co-villagers and are known to each other. It is also submitted that address of the petitioner and informant is same. It is next submitted that had the petitioner been involved in the occurrence in that event the informant would have named the petitioner in the FIR but then the FIR was instituted against unknown. It is further submitted that three days after the occurrence, the motorcycle was recovered after payment of Rs.10,000/- based on mediation made by the accused persons including the petitioner. It is further submitted that from perusal of the seizure list, it would manifest that the motorcycle which is alleged to have been snatched by the accused persons was seized by the police. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial



court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Amas P.S. Case No. 277 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. However, if the investigating officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not cooperating in the investigation, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

