

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77895 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-303 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

1. Komal Natraj D/o Sanjay Natraj R/o Village - Chetan Nagar Colony, P.S - Maruadih, District - Varanasi (U.P), At present R/o - Bishrampur Tola, P.S - Sasaram (Muffasil), District - Rohtas
2. Kajal Kumari D/o Sanjit Nath @ Sanjit Nat @ Sanjay Natraj @ Sujit Nat R/o - Bishrampur Tola, P.S - Sasaram (Muffasil), District - Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 79350 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-303 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

Ragni Kumari D/O Daiji Resident of Village- Bisrampur, P.S.- Sasaram Muffasil, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Divakar Kumar S/O Manoj Kumar A.S.I Sasaram(M) P.S., P.S- Sasaram (M), Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 77895 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 79350 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. D.K. Sinha, Sr. Advocate

Mr. Jawed Akhtar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, learned counsel

for the petitioners and Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State in Cr. Misc. No.

77895 of 2025 and Mr. D.K. Sinha, learned senior counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State in Cr. Misc. No.



79350 of 2025.

2. Petitioners seeks bail who are in custody since 12.09.2025 in connection with Sasaram (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 303 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 11.09.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 143(1), 143(5), 144(1), 144(2), 64(1), 111, 61(2) of the BNS, 2023, Sections 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956, Sections 4/8 of POCSO Act and Sections 75/79 of Juvenile Justice Act, 2015.

3. According to prosecution case, the police got information that forced prostitution racket was running in village Bishrampur Tola. Upon the said informant, the informant along with other police personnel reached there and conducted raid in houses of the accused persons where several men and women including girls in objectionable condition were found and some of the girls were found to be minor and they confessed that they were forced to work in this prostitution racket.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have clean antecedent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated and the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. Although the petitioners are named in the F.I.R but from perusal of the F.I.R it



appears that there is no specific allegation of immoral activity attributed against the petitioners. They further submits that the petitioners have been found in their room and they have been made accused merely on the ground that they are present at the place of occurrence but in a separate room of the house. The present F.I.R has been lodged against them merely on the ground of suspicion and there is no material on record which suggest the involvement of these petitioners in the present occurrence and their statements have been recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C./183 of the B.N.S.S in which they have not supported the case of the prosecution. They further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioners. The petitioners are in custody since 12.09.2025.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Special Court (POCSO), Sasaram, Rohtas in connection with Sasaram



(Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 303 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

