

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79687 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-303 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

Nitish Kumar S/O Late Pramod Kumar @ Pramod Natraj R/O Vill.-
Bishrampur Tola, P.S.- Sasaram (M), Dist.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Diwakar Kumar S/O Manoj Kumar R/O Vill.- Kodiyarahi, P.S.-
Bihariganj, Distt.- Madhepura, at present police sub. Inspector, Sasaram (M),
P.S.- Sasaram (M), Dist.- Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 05-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Sasaram (M) P.S. Case No. 303 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 143(1), 143(5), 144(1),
144(2), 64(1), 111, 61(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that when the
house of Vijay Nat was surrounded and a raid was made, two
boys started fleeing away. One was apprehended and he was
identified as the petitioner and from that house two girls were
recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that from perusal of the order of the learned trial court, it will transpire that the victims have given their statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS and they have not supported the case of prosecution regarding prostitution. It has further been submitted that co-accused namely, Raju Nat, Veena Kumari, Karina Kumari, Komal Natraj and Kajal Kumari have been granted bail by the learned coordinate bench of this court as well as from this court passed in Cr. Misc. Nos. 83639 of 2025, 76390 of 2025 and 77895 of 2025 respectively. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 12.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail



bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Special Judge (POCSO), Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Sasaram (M) P.S. Case No. 303 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

