IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78899 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011125392026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-284 Year-2026 Thana- NAANPUR District- Sitamarhi

==========

Pankaj Kumar S/O Arun Sharma @ Arun Kumar R/O Village- Chaupar Khurd, P.S.- Nanpur, Dist.- Sitamarhi.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Virendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Nanpur P.S. Case No. 284 of 2026 instituted for the offences under Sections 125 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(9), 29 and 30 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution allegation, in short, is that a photograph of co-accused Manoj Kumar carrying a country-made pistol went viral on social media. During investigation, Manoj Kumar disclosed that the weapon was obtained from co-accused Sunny Kumar, who further revealed that the arms belongs to the petitioner, Pankaj Kumar. All three accused were apprehended

WEB COPY

and allegedly disclosed that they had jointly purchased the firearm from Nepal to spread terror and commit robbery.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has got no concern with the alleged recovery of arms. It is further submitted the name of the petitioner has transpired on the confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Manoj Kumar which has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 21.08.2026 and has one criminal antecedent. There is no compliance of Section 103 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court

WEB COPY

below/concerned Court in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 284 of 2026.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

sukriti/-

U		T	

WEB COPY