

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78743 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-252 Year-2024 Thana- CHAKAI District- Jamui

=====

Gulsan Kumar @ Gulsan Kumar Sah Son of Kailash Sah R/o Village-
Kiyajori, P.S.- Chakai, District- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ebrahim Kabir, Adv
Ms. Shruti Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Chakai PS Case No. 252 of 2024 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 20(b)(ii)(c), 22, 27 and 29 of
NDPS Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that 2.5 kg of ganja was recovered from possession of
Dhanraj.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is not named in the FIR and is a person with clean
antecedent and came to be implicated based on confessional



statement of Dhanraj in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value and even alleged recovery of psychotropic substance is from Dhanraj.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that no doubt petitioner is not named in the FIR, but then his name transpired in the confessional statement of Dhanraj in police custody, it is also submitted that even alleged recovery is more than small quantity and from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that Dhanraj disclosed before the police that petitioner is his brother-in-law (sala) and the motorcycle belongs to him and it was at his instance that he was bringing the psychotropic substance for handing it over to Diwakar and even petitioner was present at the place of occurrence at village Narodih, but then Dhanraj asked him to leave, when he saw the police vehicle coming and tried to escape but was arrested. It is next submitted that investigation of the case is continuing and if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond

6. Considering the submission made by learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

