IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78386 of 2026
CNR No. BRHC011124492026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2015 Thana- BHAGWAN BAZAR District- Saran

==========

Govind Kumar Singh @ Bal Vyas Ji Maharaj Son of Late Shambhu Singh Resident of Village- Hashimpur, P.O.- Harpur Karah, P.S.- Baniyapur, District- Saran - 841403 (Bihar)

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rahul Mani, Advocate
: Mrs. Pallawi Kumari, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard Mrs. Pallawi Kumari, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP representing the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bhagwan Bazar P.S. Case No. 139 of 2015 /Complaint Case No.707 of 2015 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 120(B), 149, 313, 420 and 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code, lodged on 04.06.2015 by the informant Pushpa Devi.

3. As per the complaint which later turned into F.I.R., the informant (since deceased) alleged torture for dowry and being thrown out of the house. The details have already been recorded in the complaint which include the role of the family members and while she was pregnant, was assaulted on the

WEB COPY

stomach and she was bleeding when thrown out of the house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner concede that though the F.I.R. is of the year 2015, the petitioner was on bail but subsequently, the cognizance was taken in the year 2016 and he was unaware of it. Further submission is that the lady informant died in the year 2017 itself.

5. Learned APP Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, opposes the prayer submitting that the allegation clearly shows that she was regularly tortured and while she was pregnant, was hit on the stomach causing bleeding. She was subsequently ousted from the house. The cognizance took place in the year 2016 and now the petitioner has knocked the doors of the Court after ten years.

6. Taking into account the aforesaid submissions of the parties as also the allegation that has come, the lady is no more, the F.I.R. is of the year 2015, cognizance taken in the year 2016, in that background, it would be appropriate that the petitioner seek bail.

7. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	

WEB COPY