IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77481 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011124342026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-186 Year-2026 Thana- DHANAHA District- West Champaran

==========

Ashok Yadav Son of Late Raghu Yadav Resident of Village- Koiri Tola, P.S.- Dhanaha, District- West Champaran

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XX D/o Mr. YY Resident of Village- Semra Chakdaha, P.S.- Dhanaha, District- West Champaran

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Pradeep, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ajay Kumar No.2, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Dhanaha P.S. Case No. 186 of 2026 lodged on 24/05/2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2) and 96 of the B.N.S., 2023, pending in the Court of Smt. Priyanka Kumari, Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Bagaha, West Champaran.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against the sole petitioner with allegation that he kidnapped the daughter of the informant.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the

WEB COPY

petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel further submits that the alleged victim has already been recovered. Counsel further submits that name of the petitioner has been inserted in this case due to land dispute going on between both the parties. Counsel further submits that the alleged victim herself had gone with the petitioner. Counsel further submits that in the entire statement nowhere the alleged victim has stated any illegal act was done with her by the petitioner. Counsel further submits that criminal antecedent of the petitioner is clean. Counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon him.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner, and submits that the alleged victim has stated in her statement that the petitioner took her to Padrauna (U.P) and when she raised alarm then the petitioner left her and fled away from there.

6. Considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present bail application is hereby rejected.

7. However, liberty is hereby granted to the petitioner

WEB COPY

that if he surrenders within four weeks', the Trial Court is directed to pass order on his surrender-cum-bail application on the same day without being prejudiced by the fact that the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner has been rejected by this Court, and the Trail Court shall pass an order on the merits of the case.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Anshuman/
Aman Kumar-

U		T	

WEB COPY