

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77321 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-205 Year-2025 Thana- RAUTA District- Purnia

Pankaj Kumar @ Prince @ Pankaj Kumar Pandit S/O Prasad Pandit @ Shree Prasad Pandit Resident of Village - Siswa, P.S - Joki, Dist.- Arariya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

2. X S/O Y R/O Vill.- Baisa, Ward no.- 9, P.S- Routa, Dist- Purnea

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajit Ranjan Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-01-2026 Heard Mr. Ajit Ranjan Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Despite valid service of notice upon O.P. No. 2, no one appears on behalf of the opposite party no. 2.

3. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 15.07.2025 in connection with Routa P.S. Case No. 205 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 03.07.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 87, 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 4 of the POCSO Act.

4. According to prosecution case, allegation against this petitioner is to kidnap the daughter of the informant after luring her.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has clean antecedent and the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. The victim was recovered and her statement was recorded u/s 183 of BNSS in which she has not supported the case of the prosecution and she has also refused for her medical examination. He further submits that the police after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 15.07.2025.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and also the fact that petitioner has clean antecedent, victim in her statement u/s 183 BNSS has not supported the case of prosecution and she has also refused for medical examination, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VII-cum-Special Judge (POCSO), Purnea in connection with Rauta P.S. Case No. 205 of 2025, with the following conditions:

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

