IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77334 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011123852026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-84 Year-2026 Thana- UJIYARPUR District- Samastipur

==========

Ratnesh Kumar Son of Suresh Sahni Resident Of Village- Lohagir, Ps- Ujiarpur, Dist- Samastipur.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surya Narayan Roy, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Upendra Kumar, APP
For the Informant : Mrs. Sweta Suman, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Ujiarpur P.S. Case No. 84 of 2026, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 308(2), 115(2),126(2), 351(2), 351(3), 352, 303(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, on the date of occurrence, petitioner along with named accused persons and three unknown persons armed with lathi, danda, knife etc. entered into the house of informant and started abusing and assaulting him. Co-accused Rajiv Kumar assaulted him with iron rod causing injury on his head. On the order of co-accused

WEB COPY

Rangila Devi, all the accused persons assaulted him. When the mother of informant came to save him, petitioner beat her with fists and slaps and also snatched her jewellery.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner and informant are neighbours. There is old land dispute between them. Specific allegation of assault is against co-accused Rajiv Kumar that he assaulted the informant with iron rod. Specific allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted the mother of informant, Nirmala Devi, with fists and slaps and snatched her jewellery. Learned counsel submits that the injury to the mother of informant is simple in nature, which is also corroborated in the impugned order. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. He undertakes to co-operate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant oppose the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, nature of injury caused by the petitioner and petitioner has clean antecedent, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court

WEB COPY

below within six weeks from today, the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Dalsingsarai, Samastipur in connection with Ujiyarpur P.S. Case No. 84 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.), 2023.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

rakhi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY