

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78524 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-61 Year-2021 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

Raja Singh @ Babu Saheb @ Rahul Kumar Son of Late Bhola Singh @
Ganesh Prasad Singh Resident of Village - Mahrauli, P.S.- Aurai, District -
Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kush, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Madhuban P.S.
Case No. 61 of 2021 registered for the offence under Section
392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 22.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 74850 of 2024, which reads as under:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No. 61 of
2021 registered for the offence under Section
392 of the Indian Penal Code.*

*3. As per the prosecution case,
unknown criminals have looted Rs. 10.95 lakhs*



from the informant who belongs to Bharat Finance.

3. The name of the petitioner has come in the self-inculpatory statement of the co-accused Sachin Kr. Singh.

4. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the petitioner that there is no recovery from the petitioner and Sachin Kr. Singh has already been granted bail by this Court The petitioner is in custody since 8.7.2024.

5. Considering the fact that the petitioner is one of the criminals who participated in the loot of huge amount and has six criminal antecedents, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.”

4. From the report of the trial Judge, it appears that the case has been committed to the Court of Sessions.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and also the fact that the case has been committed to the Court of Sessions, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

