

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76336 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-150 Year-2023 Thana- GHANSHYAMPUR District- Darbhanga

Navin Singh Son of Bhupendra Singh @ Bhupi Singh R/o Village - Punhat,
P.S.- Ghanshyampur, District - Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 30-01-2026 Heard Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, learned APP for the
State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
26.04.2024, in connection with Sessions Trial No. 315 of 2025
arising out of Ghanshyampur P.S. Case No. 150 of 2023, F.I.R.
dated 19.06.2023 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 341, 323, 354(A), 307, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian
Penal Code and later on Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code
was added.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he has
assaulted the husband of the informant with lathi on his head
due to which his head got fractured and blood started oozing out
and during treatment he died.



4. Earlier the bail petition of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 27.09.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 65312 of 2024.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that the date of occurrence as alleged in the F.I.R. is 17.06.2023 and the written report has been submitted on 19.06.2023 but the present F.I.R. was instituted on 20.06.2023. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that it appears from the F.I.R. itself that both the parties are agnates to each other and due to admitted land dispute the present occurrence had taken place. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the occurrence had taken place on 17.06.2023 but the victim died on 24.06.2023 and there was no intention to kill the deceased and at the spur of moment the occurrence had taken place and now the charge has been framed against the petitioner on 06.01.2026 and petitioner is in custody since 26.04.2024.

6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation



against the petitioner that he has assaulted the deceased by means of lathi and during treatment he has died.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sessions Judge, Darbhanga in connection with Sessions Trial No. 315 of 2025 arising out of Ghanshyampur P.S. Case No. 150 of 2023, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the



acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

