

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78475 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-152 Year-2025 Thana- RAFIGANJ District- Aurangabad

1. Sanichari Devi Wife of Vijay Chaudhary RO Village - Pogar, P.S. - Rafiganj, District - Aurangabad.
2. Sanjay Chaudhary Son of Late Karu Chaudhary RO Village - Pogar, P.S. - Rafiganj, District - Aurangabad.
3. Pradeep Chaudhary @ Pradeep Kumar Son of Vijay Chaudhary RO Village - Pogar, P.S. - Rafiganj, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhirendra Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jitendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and apprehending their arrest in connection with Rafiganj P.S. Case No. 152 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 238(A), 80, 3(5) of BNS.

3. As per FIR, petitioners alongwith his family members committed murder of the daughter of the informant in her matrimonial home where informant raised suspicion that the husband of victim (son-in-law) was in illicit relation with some unknown and as her daughter failed to fulfill the



demand of money, present occurrence took place.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that upon perusal of FIR, it can be gathered safely that there is no *prima-facie* ingredient of offence as alleged to be committed under Section 304B of IPC. It is submitted that there is no allegation that any demand was raised rather a suspicion was raised that the occurrence took place due to illicit relation of the husband of the deceased, which was objected by victim/ deceased. It is submitted that even the demand of money was alleged to be raised specifically against husband where it is not even clear that same was demanded as dowry.

5. Arguing further it is submitted that petitioner are in-laws and they are living separately with deceased and her husband having no concern with daily and domestic affair deceased and her husband. While concluding arguments, it is submitted that petitioners claimed clean antecedent.

6. Learned APP while opposing the prayer of bail could not disputed aforesaid factual submission.

7. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by



taking note of fact as petitioners are in-laws claimed to live separately, coupled with the fact that suspicion raised through FIR that occurrence committed out of illicit relation of husband of the deceased accordingly above-named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks, are directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Aurangabad, /concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Rafiganj P.S. Case No. 152 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

