

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79654 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-144 Year-2024 Thana- KAJI MUHAMMADPUR District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Anand Kumar @ Shivam @ Ritesh S/o- Anil Kumar Singh R/v- Gannipur,
Ps- Kazimohammadpur Dist- Muzaffarpur Petitioner/s
Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shashi Ranjan S.I University Posted in Police Station,Muzaffarpur , Dist-
Muzaffarpur
3. Deepak Kumar S.I University, Muzaffarpur Posted in Police
Station,Muzaffarpur , Dist- Muzaffarpur
4. Deepak KUmAr, Data Operator University, Muzaffarpur Posted in Police
Station,Muzaffarpur , Dist- Muzaffarpur. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mayank Raj, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nitya Nand Tiwary, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 29-01-2026 Heard Mr.Mayank Raj, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr.Nitya Nand Tiwary, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
17.05.2024 in connection with Kazimohammadpur P.S. Case
No. 144 of 2024, F.I.R. dated 16.05.2024 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 376/328 of IPC.

3. Earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner was
rejected by a Coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court vide order
dated 03.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.72993 of 2024.

4. Vide order dated 05.12.2025, a report was called for
with regard to the stage of the trial. Report of the learned Trial



Court dated 17.12.2025 reveals that out of six chargesheet witnesses, two witnesses have been examined including the victim and the victim has supported the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries five more cases other than the present one but fairly submits that out of five cases, the petitioner is on bail in four cases and rest one case is pending for consideration before the competent court of law, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the nature of allegation as alleged in the FIR which is supported by the victim in her statement as well as in the trial, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Kazimohammadpur P.S. Case No. 144 of 2024 pending in the court of learned Exclusive Special Court, POCSO-III, Muzaffarpur.

7. Prayer is refused.

8. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

