

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76121 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2025 Thana- CHANDI District- Bhojpur

Pradeep Kumar S/O Sri Devraj Singh @ Malik Yadav R/O Vill.- Ramnagar,
Kunjan Tola, P.S.- Chandi, Dist.- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Rahul Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Md. Ataul Haque, Advocate
		Mr. Madavav Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 08-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Chandi
P.S. Case No. 121 of 2025 dated 28.07.2025 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 109/3(5) of the Bhartiya
Nyaya Sanhita and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is alleged
to have made fire in the air to threaten the informant and others
in an altercation which had taken place in the village.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and no such
incident has occurred. It has further been submitted that no
incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious



possession of the petitioner and only to implicate more and more persons a false and concocted story has been made alleging that the petitioner had fired in the air. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner though has one criminal case against his name in which he is on bail. The petitioner is in custody in the present case since 28.07.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as the learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and have stated that the petitioner along with other tried to kill the informant and others and they have created a terror in the area by resorting to indiscriminate firing.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody of the petitioner, he is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Chandi P.S. Case No. 121 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner:

(ii) the petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the trial court, if so required by the learned



trial court:

(iii) in case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bonds of the petitioner will liable to be cancelled by the court concerned:

(iv) the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of the same on in the name of verification;

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

krishna/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

