**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77520 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC011116712026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-236 Year-2026 Thana- SAKRI District- Madhubani

==========

Dinesh Kumar, Son of Balkrishna Singh @ Balkrishn Singh, Resident of village - Ghat Bhatra, P.S.- Bisfi, District - Madhubani

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Kumari Pallavi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Sakri P.S. Case No. 236 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 64(1), 98, 99, 70(2), 61(2)(a), 143(2), 145, 111, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956.

3. As per the FIR based on the written application of the informant, namely, Taranand Thakur (a social worker with Bihar Seva Samiti), he gave information that an illegal brothel has been run by a few persons, a raid was conducted and several

WEB COPY

persons were apprehended, including the women involved therein. Several persons who were customers apart from the accused, who were running the brothel, were also apprehended and the petitioner is one of them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he was present at the place of occurrence. It has further been submitted that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner even from the reading of the Statement of the victim girls recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, who were recovered by the police and they have all alleged only against the co-accused persons, namely, Dinesh Kumar Mahto, Bangali @ Alamgir Sheikh and Saurabh Mistri, who had forced them to work in the brothel. It is submitted that at best, though, no one admitted that the petitioner would be a customer in the said act. It has further been pointed out that the petitioner carries clean antecedent and is in custody since 05.09.2026.

5. The learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions made by the parties and taking account of the fact that there is no specific allegation of the petitioner being involved in running the brothel

WEB COPY

and coercing the victim girls and subjecting them to illegal sexual activity and also the fact that noting has been alleged specifically by the recovered victim girls, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sakri P.S. Case No. 236 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative and the other shall be local resident.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

8. It is made clear that the observation, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

**(Sourendra Pandey, J)**

manoj/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY