

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75985 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2025 Thana- MUSRIGHRARI District- Samastipur

Md Raj Aryan @ Pappu S/o Md. Sohrab @ Shorab Alam, R/o Vill.- Udapatti,
P.S.- Musrigharari, Dist.- Samastipur- 848101. Current Address- C/o Sohrab
Alam, Adarsh Nagar, Near Bus Stand, P.S.- Ramgarh, Jharkhand- 829122

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Piyush Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Bipin Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Musrigharari P.S. Case No. 113 of 2025 dated
17.07.2025, registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 137(2) and 87 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the minor daughter
of the informant went to market and did not return thereafter.
Next day, the informant received a call on his mobile from his
daughter who named the petitioner and other co-accused
persons, who kept her confined and were assaulting her.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The FIR has been lodged with general and omnibus allegations. The victim girl was traced and her statement was recorded by the police, wherein she stated that she developed friendly relationship with the petitioner during her chat with him on social media platform. She left her house on saying of the petitioner and started for Mumbai by train. She also stated that during their travel, she came to know that the petitioner was habituated to intoxicants and she told this fact to her father and thereafter, they were apprehended by the rail police. Learned counsel further submits that the victim has not made any allegation against the petitioner for forcibly taking her away or making any sexual assault. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having clean antecedent and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 20.07.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently oppose the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant submits that the daughter of the informant was threatened by the petitioner and for this



reason she accompanied him to Mumbai, but they were apprehended by the police midway. The victim also stated that the petitioner was trying to sell her away.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the dubious nature of allegation and also considering the clean antecedent of the petitioner, his period of custody and submission of chargesheet, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Samastipur / concerned Court, in connection with **Musrigharari P.S. Case No. 113 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the



petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

