

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76819 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-237 Year-2012 Thana- DUMRA District- Sitamarhi

Ranjan Paswan S/o Ram Kishore Paswan R/o Village- Kelhriya, P.S- Dumra,
Dist- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Asha Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 17-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 366, 376, 379, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, it is alleged that the petitioner along with other co-accused persons kidnapped the informant, took her to Delhi, established forceful physical relationship and one day she heard that she was going to be sold at Mumbai for rupees three lakh but any how she escaped and came to the parent's house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and as a matter of fact, the victim is a major lady having two



children and after her alleged kidnapping neither the husband nor anyone else lodged any case. The present case arises out of a complaint filed by the victim herself i.e. after almost two months of the date of occurrence and the said complaint was sent for institution of F.I.R. under Section 156(3) of the Cr.P.C.. Learned counsel has further submitted that paragraph nos.6 and 7 of the case diary contains the statement of the father-in-law and mother-in-law of the victim which is indicative of the fact that the victim had conveyed to them in the month of January itself that she was going to her mother's house and she has never returned. Further, paragraph-28 of the case diary contains the statement of another independent witness, whose statement indicates that the informant/victim eloped in January with one Kanjarwala Nat. Further, neither any medical report nor statement under Section 164 of Cr.P.C. of the victim is on record. Similarly situated co-accused person, namely Pankaj Sharma has already been granted the privilege of bail by a Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.01.2015 passed in Cr. Misc. No.41757 of 2014. The petitioner was working outside the State of Bihar and after having knowledge of the present case he surrendered on 30.06.2025 and charges have been framed on 29.08.2025.



5. Learned APP for the State opposed the bail on the basis of allegations made in the First Information Report.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and considering the materials that have transpired during the course of investigation, coupled with the fact that charges have already been framed, let the above named petitioner, who has no criminal antecedent and undertakes to appear on each and every date fixed in the case, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Session Judge-IV-cum-Special Judge (Rape and POCSO) Act, Sitamarhi/concerned Court below in connection with Dumra P.S. Case No. 237 of 2012 subject to condition that:-

(i) The petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

