

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.4498 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-877 Year-2024 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

Meena Devi W/O Mukesh Jha Resident of village- Bhairav kothi, P.S.
And District- Sitamarhi

... .. Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Patna
 2. Aditya Kumar Jha S/O Dhananjay Jha Resident of village- Bhairav kothi, P.S. And District- Sitamarhi
- Respondents

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mrs.Archana Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ramchandra Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 18-02-2026 Heard Mrs. Archana Jha, learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the appellant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The present appeal preferred by first informant namely, Meena Devi for cancellation of bail of respondent no. 2 namely, Aditya Kumar Jha, who has been granted bail in terms of order dated 16.07.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Children Courts, Sitamarhi, in Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 877 of 2024 for the offence punishable under sections 103, 238, 61(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (in short, the 'B.N.S.').

3. The present petition for cancellation of bail of respondent no. 2 was preferred mainly on the ground that the first respondent namely, Meena Devi (appellant here) was not given an



opportunity of hearing.

4. It appears upon perusal of record as the case was registered for the offences punishable under section 302 of the I.P.C. and it is not necessary to hear in such cases to the informant as the cause of informant to be taken care by the State through learned A.P.P.

5. It also appears from perusal of the impugned order that considering the Social Investigation Report, Social Background Report, report of the Probation Officer and also by considering the factual aspect of the case where the respondent no. 2 was implicated out of confessional statement of apprehended co-accused, the bail was granted in the best interest of respondent no. 2/CICL (Child in Conflict with Law).

6. In view of aforesaid, there is no occasion to interfere with the impugned order, accordingly, the present appeal is devoid of any merit and, therefore, the same stands dismissed.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

