IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78481 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011111432026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-188 Year-2026 Thana- BACHHWARA District- Begusarai

==========

Ramdev Yadav Son of Jai Karan Yadav @ Jay Karan Yadav Resident of Village - Ward - 15, Narepur Diyara, Sharvan Tola, P.S. - Bachhwara,District - Begusarai, PIN - 851111. ... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar ... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Lalan Kumar, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Shovendra Kumar, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Shovendra Kumar, learned counsel for the informant and Mr. Lalan Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 10.06.2026 in connection with Bachhwara P.S. Case No. 188 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 08.06.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 103(1), 109, 308(2), 125, 324(6) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused persons including this petitioner have demanded extortion from farmers and in connection with the same they were gathered outside the house of accused Pramod Rai where a quarrel took place between the informant and the accused persons. Upon

WEB COPY

this, Ravi Rai fired upon the informant's brother due to which he got shot in his shoulder resulting into his death and Pramod Rai fired upon this informant causing grievous injury to him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. Although the petitioner is named in the FIR but from perusal of the FIR it appears that there is no specific allegation of assault or firing attributed against the petitioner rather the same is against the co-accused, namely, Ravi Rai and Pramod Rai and at best, the petitioner is the member of the mob. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2026.

5. The learned counsel for the informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR and apart from that the petitioner carries five criminal antecedents other than the present one.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that there is no specific allegation of assault or firing against the petitioner in the FIR, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten

WEB COPY

Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M. 1st Class cum Add. Munsif, Begusarai (Bihar) in connection with Bachhwara P.S. Case No. 188 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	

WEB COPY