IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1337 of 2023
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4694 of 2023

CNR No. BRHC011111312023

==========

Anand son of Dipendra Narain Singh, resident of Village-Dhanehhata, Ward No. 10, P.S.-Saur Bazar, District-Saharsa.

... ... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Land and Revenue Department, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Saharsa.
3. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Saharsa.
4. The Block Development Officer, Saur Bazar, District-Saharsa.
5. The Circle Officer, Saur Bazar, Saharsa.

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Appellant/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Mukund, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH)

5 28-10-2026 Re:I.A. No. 1 of 2025

The present Interlocutory Application has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act to condone a delay of 10 days, which has taken place in filing the present intra court appeal.

2. The learned counsel for the appellant submits that on account of communication gap, the impugned order dated 26.09.2023 could not be communicated to the appellant in time

WEB COPY

resulting in some delay having been caused in approaching the present counsel for the purposes of filing the present intra court appeal, thus it submitted that a sympathetic view be taken and the delay which has taken place in filing the present intra court appeal, be condoned.

3. The present Interlocutory Application is not opposed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the averments made in the present application, we find that sufficient cause has been shown so as to warrant condonation of delay in filing the present intra court appeal.

5. Accordingly, the delay of 10 days which has taken place in filing the present intra court appeal is condoned.

6. I.A. No. 1 of 2025 stands allowed.

7. Re.: L.P.A. No. 1337 of 2023

The Ld. Counsel for the appellant, realising the fact that the writ petition filed by the writ petitioner was *sans* any particulars much less any relevant documents, submits that in case appellant is still aggrieved and is having subsisting grievances, he would take recourse to the appropriate remedy available under the law.

8. Accordingly, the present intra court appeal stands

WEB COPY

disposed of, however taking note of the aforesaid submissions advanced by the Ld. Counsel for the appellant.

9. All the pending I.As. stand disposed of.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

S.Sb/-

U			

WEB COPY